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## GLOSSARY AND ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>Computer-Aided Dispatch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call Answering Time</td>
<td>The time between when the PSAP receives the call from the telephone service provider and when the call-taker answers the call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call-taker</td>
<td>Communications staff who are trained to answer emergency and non-emergency calls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>California Highway Patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>The City of San José, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CritiCall®</td>
<td>Public safety dispatcher/call-taker pre-employment skills testing software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispatcher</td>
<td>Communications staff who direct emergency personnel and equipment, and maintain control of radio channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMR</td>
<td>SJPD Communications Quarterly Program Management Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POST</td>
<td>California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSAP</td>
<td>Public Safety Answering Point, call-center where 9-1-1 and 3-1-1 calls are received in San José</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response Time</td>
<td>The time between the receipt of a call and the arrival of the first officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJPD</td>
<td>San José Police Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJFD</td>
<td>San José Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAC</td>
<td>Telephone Reporting Automation Center (non-emergency crime reports)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY

The City of San José (City) is the third largest city in California and tenth largest in the United States. The City’s growing population now exceeds 1 million residents. Recent media reports have highlighted the City’s need for improved emergency services as have the voters in passing Measure T-The Disaster Preparedness, Public Safety and Infrastructure Bond. These concerns prompted the Grand Jury to investigate whether San José Police Department (SJPD) 9-1-1 emergency services are commensurate with the City’s current needs.

The 2018-2019 Santa Clara County Civil Grand Jury (Grand Jury) found the SJPD Communications Center (SJPD Communications) is chronically understaffed while call volumes are increasing, and State- and City-mandated call answering time goals are not being met. The Grand Jury also found that there is no quick or inexpensive fix for improving 9-1-1/3-1-1 call answering times. Even if the budget allowed for an increase in staffing to handle the workload, the real issue is that the City has been consistently unable to fill vacancies.

The Grand Jury found that the SJPD Communications personnel are dedicated, well trained and respected by their command staff, but that many of the personnel are concerned that their positions and responsibilities are not recognized to be as important as those of sworn police officers.

The Grand Jury found the communications and sworn police officer positions are mutually reliant upon each other and deserve the same resources toward recruitment, hiring, retention, training and promotional processes. The Grand Jury concluded that the Communications Division needs dedicated recruiting personnel to partner with SJPD recruiting personnel, a professionally executed salary survey to establish equitable compensation and benefit plans, a more desirable place of employment, and a review of hiring and training processes to reduce the time from application to hire while maintaining high standards of excellence.

The Grand Jury found SJPD Communications uses short-staffed call-takers to take crime reports over the telephone and that this responsibility should be moved to another division or bureau.

Many of the Grand Jury’s findings were supported by the recently completed Audit of 9-1-1 and 3-1-1: Changes to Call Handling and Increased Hiring Efforts Could Improve Call Answering Times, released by the Office of the City Auditor in February 2019.

---

BACKGROUND

SAN JOSÉ POLICE DEPARTMENT COMMUNICATION STRUCTURE

SJPD Communications is one of four divisions in the Bureau of Administration organization (BOA), which is overseen by a Deputy Police Chief. Other divisions in the BOA are Operations Support Services (OSSD), Personnel, Recruiting and Background, Property and Evidence, and Training.

As the primary Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) for 9-1-1, the SJPD Communications Center receives all calls for police, fire and ambulance services in the City of San José. Emergency call sources to 9-1-1 include landline telephones, wireless telephones, CHP transfers and calls from SJPD field officers.

SJPD’s PSAP also receives non-emergency calls and takes some non-emergency crime reports, or Telephone Reporting Automation Center (TRAC) reports, over the telephone at 3-1-1.

The SJPD Communications Division’s current total authorized headcount is 162.5 with: 60 Public Safety Communications Specialists (call-taker); 80.5 Public Safety Radio Dispatchers (dispatcher); 14 Senior Dispatchers; 6 Supervising Dispatchers; one Assistant Communications Manager; and one Communications Division Manager.

Call-takers and dispatchers provide the basis for sworn police officers to begin their preliminary investigation and are considered by many to be first responders. Where sworn officers deal with one emergency or event, dispatchers must deal with multiple emergencies and events simultaneously while dispatching calls for services, documenting field activity, updating locations, and completing criminal record and warrant checks for sworn officers.

Following established protocols to direct calls, call-takers obtain information, prioritize events and relay information to dispatchers. Dispatchers then evaluate resources, identify and direct emergency personnel and equipment, and maintain control of radio channels to ensure the safety of the public and police officers. Requests for fire and medical assistance are transferred to San José Fire Department (SJFD) Communications, which is housed in a separate room next to the SJPD Communications Center. The operations of SJFD Communications are beyond the scope of the Grand Jury’s investigation.

---

2 San José City Auditor, 2019. *Audit of 9-1-1 and 3-1-1: Changes to Call Handling and Increased Hiring Efforts Could Improve Call Answering Times*
Chart 1 shows the routings of calls to the Communications Center for both emergency and non-emergency numbers.

**Chart 1 - SJPD Communications Call Answering Flow Chart**

Call-taking staff is cross-trained so that they can handle both non-emergency and emergency phone calls on a rotational basis. On any given day, they may be assigned to work one of the following positions:

- Emergency 9-1-1 calls
- Non-emergency 3-1-1 calls
- TRAC
3-1-1 IN THE CITY OF SAN JOSÉ

In 1997, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) ordered the 3-1-1 phone number be set aside nationwide for non-emergency police and other government services. In November 1997, under a pilot program with the California Department of General Services, San José became the first municipality in California to offer the 3-1-1 phone number to the public in order to help manage emergency call volume.

Calls to 3-1-1 within the City limits are routed to the SJPD Communications Center. While other major cities have modified the role of 3-1-1 to provide more expansive customer service, San José continues to use 3-1-1 as a police non-emergency line.

Non-emergency calls are directed to a lengthy phone tree that does not always direct the caller to the appropriate resource, resulting in 50% percent of non-emergency callers opting to connect to a call-taker.³

TRAC IN THE CITY OF SAN JOSÉ

TRAC report writing involves answering citizen calls and documenting the information citizens provide by completing a police report. Prior to May 1995, SJPD Information Center police officers and police records personnel answered citizen calls and subsequently manually wrote the citizen report.⁴ According to the SJPD, at the time, the Information Center police officers and the records personnel found it increasingly difficult to handle the growing volume of reports taken over the telephone.

From December 1, 1993 to March 10, 1994, the SJPD conducted a pilot project where Communications Center personnel took over the telephone report-writing function from the Information Center for several hours each day. Based on the results of the pilot, SJPD proposed telephone report writing should be changed from a manual to an automated process. In addition, a new section in the Communications Center, named the Telephone Report Automation Center (TRAC), would handle telephone report writing. In August 1994, the Budget Office authorized nine dispatchers and one senior dispatcher to staff the TRAC function. These dispatchers were hired in late 1994.

In May 1995, SJPD Communications assumed responsibility for TRAC. Call-takers are now responsible for processing a variety of non-emergency crime reports by telephone. Most reports are misdemeanor offenses, but prior (past) non-life-threatening felony reports are also included.

³ San José City Auditor, 2019 Audit of 9-1-1 and 3-1-1: Changes to Call Handling and Increased Hiring Efforts Could Improve Call Answering Times
⁴ San José City Auditor, 1995 Audit of the SJPD - Communications Division’s Staffing and Scheduling
Also, residents are able to file some crime reports online. Currently, the SJPD Records Unit is responsible for processing online crime/incident reports.

There are a handful of crime reports that are considered “mandatory” and must be taken 24/7 whether or not the phone line for crime reports is operating. These include missing persons, lost or stolen license plates, “Tarasoff threats”, reports from hospitals and schools, reports from care facilities, and lost or stolen passports.

For all other non-emergency police reports, residents must call between 12 p.m. to 4 p.m. Mondays through Fridays, frequently enduring long wait times to file the relevant reports. In FY 2017-18, there were almost 11,000 calls to file a police report. This volume has remained relatively steady over the last 10 years. Although the FY 2017-18 average call answering time for non-emergency police reports was 3.6 minutes, the Grand Jury observed that wait times on one particular day averaged almost 30 minutes and occasionally exceeded 40 minutes.

At any point during the TRAC reporting process, TRAC activities can be placed on hold in order to handle pending 9-1-1 calls.

---

5 “Tarasoff threats” refer to California Civil Code Section 56.10(19), which permits psychotherapists to report serious and imminent threats of physical violence against a reasonably identifiable victim or victims.
METHODOLOGY

In its investigation, the Grand Jury utilized a broad range of data gathering and investigative measures including:

- Touring the SJPD and Santa Clara County Communications Centers
- Observing the SJPD Communications structure and processes by sitting alongside working call-takers and dispatchers
- Conducting 12 interviews with employees and managers in SJPD and Santa Clara County Communications Centers
- Reviewing SJPD Communications Division quarterly Program Management Reports for fiscal years 2016-2017 and 2017-2018
- Reviewing custom reports on specific data parameters
- Reviewing the San José City Auditor’s reports:
  - May 1995 - *Audit of the San José Police Department - Communications Division’s Staffing and Scheduling*
  - January 2010 - *Audit of Civilianization Opportunities in the San José Police Department*
  - August 2014 - *Customer Call Handling: Resident Access to City Services Needs to be Modernized and Improved*
  - February 2019 - *Audit of 9-1-1 and 3-1-1: Changes to Call Handling and Increased Hiring Efforts Could Improve Call Answering Times*
- Researching the salary ranges of all PSAPs within Santa Clara County and other relevant California communities
- Reviewing the most recent communications personnel salary surveys for the years 2011, 2014, 2018 and 2019 conducted by the City of San José Human Resources (HR) Department
- Conducting a survey of all other PSAPs within Santa Clara County to determine primary responsibilities and structures in comparison to SJPD Communications Division. Surveys were sent to 13 separate PSAPs and responses were received from eight PSAPs.
DISCUSSION

In September 2018, the Grand Jury toured the SJPD Communications Center and met with Communications Division management.

Subsequent to the tour, individual members of the Grand Jury sat alongside SJPD Communications team members to understand the Communications structure and processes. They observed call-takers and dispatchers in operation including the taking of crime reports using the TRAC system.

The Grand Jury learned that the Communications Center is chronically understaffed as call volumes are increasing as the San José population grows and the use of mobile devices expands. The Grand Jury was informed that the increase in the number of calls to SJPD Communications could be handled by the currently authorized and budgeted personnel head-count, but SJPD cannot easily fill vacancies or retain employees due to several factors.

SJPD Communications uses highly trained, in-demand personnel to answer non-emergency calls and take crime reports over the telephone, impacting SJPD Communications’ ability to meet emergency call answering goals.

SJPD Communications Center employees are non-sworn personnel. Although they operate on a 24x7 basis, and are considered part of the emergency response community, many of the employees are concerned their positions and responsibilities are not recognized as being as important as those of sworn police officers by the SJPD Command. All first responders play critical roles in providing emergency response and services, yet all positions are not treated equally or given the same support, attention or recognition, which has adversely impacted morale.

Additionally, the staff parking facility is not considered secure by many staff members. This causes safety concerns for personnel arriving or departing from the Communications Center, especially during late-night hours. The Grand Jury was informed the SJPD Communications Center facility was built to mid-20th century standards and has not been updated in many years.

In November 2018, Measure T, the Disaster Preparedness, Public Safety and Infrastructure Bond, passed by a vote of 70.95%. The $650 million general obligation bond measure included funding for upgrading 9-1-1 communications, police, fire and paramedics facilities to improve emergency response actions.
STATISTICS FOR SJPD COMMUNICATIONS CALLS FOR SERVICE

The Grand Jury learned that during the 2017-2018 reporting year, the SJPD Communications Center experienced a 9.2% increase in call volumes from all sources from 2016-2017, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1 - Total Number of Calls FY2016-2017 and FY2017-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>9-1-1 Calls</th>
<th>3-1-1 Calls</th>
<th>TRAC</th>
<th>CHP Transfers</th>
<th>Online</th>
<th>Radio</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q4 17-18</td>
<td>147,617</td>
<td>107,361</td>
<td>2,672</td>
<td>1,211</td>
<td>7,133</td>
<td>32,718</td>
<td>298,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 17-18</td>
<td>143,062</td>
<td>107,098</td>
<td>2,679</td>
<td>1,138</td>
<td>7,379</td>
<td>29,355</td>
<td>290,711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 17-18</td>
<td>150,185</td>
<td>104,491</td>
<td>2,580</td>
<td>1,245</td>
<td>7,778</td>
<td>29,195</td>
<td>295,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1 17-18</td>
<td>152,661</td>
<td>124,915</td>
<td>2,803</td>
<td>1,314</td>
<td>8,143</td>
<td>28,013</td>
<td>317,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 17-18</td>
<td>593,525</td>
<td>443,865</td>
<td>10,734</td>
<td>4,908</td>
<td>30,433</td>
<td>119,281</td>
<td>1,202,746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 16-17</td>
<td>514,397</td>
<td>447,441</td>
<td>12,161</td>
<td>5,544</td>
<td>26,696</td>
<td>94,980</td>
<td>1,101,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y/Y Change</td>
<td>+79,128</td>
<td>-3,576</td>
<td>-1,427</td>
<td>-636</td>
<td>+3,737</td>
<td>+24,301</td>
<td>+101,527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CALL ANSWERING GOALS VS ACTUAL TIMES

SJPD Communications Division is required to meet California Office of Emergency Services (CalOES)-mandated call answering times for 9-1-1 calls pursuant to the Warren-911-Emergency Assistance Act, Government Code §§ 53100-53121.

California Government Code § 53114.2 authorizes CalOES, Public Safety Communications, California 9-1-1 Emergency Communications Branch (CA 9-1-1 Branch) to establish mandatory standards to provide the fastest, most reliable, and cost-effective telephone access to emergency services for any 9-1-1 caller in California. The CA 9-1-1 Branch has the responsibility to monitor all emergency communication systems related to the delivery of a 9-1-1 call to ensure they comply with these standards. The CA 9-1-1 Branch has the authority to audit and request data on any items being funded from the State Emergency Telephone Number Account.

In October 2017, CalOES notified San José that it was not meeting the minimum call answering time. The State warned the City that failure to comply with the requirements could result in the State Attorney General’s Office’s engagement with both the CalOES and the local agency to ensure compliance, with the potential to affect funding for the PSAP6.

---

6 San José City Auditor, 2019 Audit of 9-1-1 and 3-1-1: Changes to Call Handling and Increased Hiring Efforts Could Improve Call Answering Times
In addition to the State standards, the City’s Annual Operating Budget includes its own performance targets for emergency and non-emergency call answering times and police response times. SJPD found long queue times for emergency phone lines overall negatively affect police response times.

**State 9-1-1 Call Answering Mandate**:7
- FY2016-17: To answer calls within 10 seconds 90% of the time
- FY2017-18: To answer calls within 15 seconds 95% of the time

**SJPD 9-1-1 Call Answering Goal**: To answer calls within an average of 2.5-seconds

**SJPD 3-1-1 Call Answering Goal**: To answer 3-1-1 calls within an average of 2.5-seconds

**SJPD TRAC Call Answering Goal**: To answer TRAC calls within 6 minutes

**SJPD Response Time Goals**:
- To achieve an average response time of six minutes from receipt of the call to the first officer arrival where there is a present or imminent danger to life or major damage/loss of property (Priority One)
- To achieve an average response time of 11 minutes from receipt of call to first officer arrival where there is injury or property damage, or potential for either to occur (Priority Two)

The Grand Jury reviewed the quarterly Project Management Reports submitted to the SJPD Administration Bureau for FY 2016-2017 and FY 2017-2018. The results, shown in Table 2, indicate the call-answering targets for both 9-1-1 and 3-1-1 were not met in either year and that the 3-1-1 goal was by far the furthest from being achieved.

---

7 State of California 9-1-1 Operations Manual Chapter I – Mandatory Standards at I.3 (Revised September 2016)
Table 2 – SJPD Communications Performance to Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Measurement</th>
<th>FY 16-17</th>
<th>FY 17-18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent of 9-1-1 calls answered within 10 seconds</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>76.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of 9-1-1 calls answered within 15 seconds</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>82.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average answer time for 9-1-1 calls</td>
<td>2.5 sec.</td>
<td>6.08 sec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average answer time for 3-1-1 calls</td>
<td>2.5 sec.</td>
<td>155.74 sec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average answer time for TRAC calls</td>
<td>6 min.</td>
<td>3.80 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average response time for Priority One calls</td>
<td>6 min.</td>
<td>8.58 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average response time for Priority Two calls</td>
<td>11 min.</td>
<td>21.45 min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Grand Jury determined the standard reports, which are based on average answering times, were incomplete in that they did not provide information on outlier hold times. The Grand Jury analyzed data provided in the custom report requested from the SJPD Administration Bureau on outlier hold times during 2017 and 2018 that greatly exceeded the call-answering goal. The analysis showed thousands of instances of hold times greater than one minute that in emergency situations could be a life or death matter. The Grand Jury noted there was a significant improvement in 2018 when compared to 2017. Though overall call volume increased by nearly 4% year-over-year, hold times between one and two minutes and hold times greater than two minutes were reduced by more than half, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3 – SJPD Communications Outlier Call Answering Times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Calls Between 1 &amp; 2 Minutes</th>
<th>% Between 1 &amp; 2 Minutes</th>
<th>Calls Greater than 2 Minutes</th>
<th>% Greater than 2 Minutes</th>
<th>Total Calls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>3972</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
<td>502,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1833</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
<td>521,934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SJPD Communications management attributed the improvement to a reallocation of staff and how resources were deployed from 50% on emergency lines and 50% on non-emergency lines to 60% on emergency and 40% on non-emergency, resulting in an improvement in emergency answering times, while increasing answering times for non-emergency calls.
SJPD COMMUNICATIONS STAFFING LEVELS

During the FY 2017-2018 reporting year, the SJPD Communications Center experienced an average deficit of trained personnel of 15.4%, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4 – SJPD Communications Authorized Headcount vs Filled Headcount

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Authorized HC</th>
<th>Filled HC</th>
<th>Vacancies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q4 2017-2018</td>
<td>162.5</td>
<td>141.5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 2017-2018</td>
<td>164.5</td>
<td>134.0</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 2017-2018</td>
<td>165.5</td>
<td>143.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1 2017-2018</td>
<td>164.5</td>
<td>136.0</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>164.25</strong></td>
<td><strong>138.62</strong></td>
<td><strong>25.37</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SJPD Communications manages staffing vacancies by requiring mandatory overtime by all call-taker and dispatcher personnel. Employees are allowed to select shifts to complete the required hours per month, and SJPD Communications management may assign shifts if adequate coverage is not achieved voluntarily.

According to the City’s 2019 Audit of 9-1-1 and 3-1-1, “On average call-takers and dispatchers worked almost 30 overtime hours per month—nearly three additional 10-hour shifts. Staff expressed concerns about exhaustion, lack of time with their family and the resulting low morale.” Other local PSAPs have not had to require mandatory overtime at this level.

SJPD COMMUNICATIONS SALARY PRACTICES

In January 2019, the City of San José conducted a salary survey comparing SJPD Communications salaries with the ten largest agencies in the San Francisco Bay Area. The results show the City of San José continues to rank at the low end of the salary range.

The Grand Jury felt that the City’s survey did not adequately take into account the competitive nature of the Silicon Valley employment market. Accordingly, the Grand Jury compared the publicly-posted salaries of SJPD Communications personnel with all other PSAPs in Santa Clara County. The results, shown in Chart 2, indicate that SJPD Communication starting salary ranges were at the bottom of the scale and may not be sufficient to attract and retain staff.
**NOTE:** A SJPD dispatcher trainee starts at $66,830. After a dispatcher trainee completes the call-taking portion of training, they receive the dispatcher base salary of $74,214 beginning the first day of their dispatcher training. SJPD Communications personnel, like many other city employees, also receive a 5% ongoing non-pensionable compensation in addition to their listed salary.

The Grand Jury learned that, unlike SJPD sworn officers, SJPD Communications staff does not receive additional compensation of five to seven-and-one-half percent for obtaining their California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) Intermediate and Advanced Certificates, which are professional certificates awarded in recognition of meeting specified training and service requirements.

**SJPD COMMUNICATIONS RECRUITMENT PRACTICES**

The first challenge for the SJPD Communications Division is to attract qualified individuals to apply for call-taker and dispatcher positions. There is no recruiting protocol specific to these positions, and no Recruiting and Background Unit personnel are dedicated to addressing the hiring needs of SJPD Communications.

The SJPD recruiting team is primarily responsible for the recruitment of sworn San José Police Officers. The SJPD recruiting team has recently expanded its recruitment activities to support SJPD Communications personnel in its outreach, as availability permits. However, any
participation by SJPD Communications personnel in recruiting activities creates a shift vacancy that must be covered by mandatory overtime for other personnel.

The recruiting team uses various forms of marketing to reach potential applicants including job fairs, social media, community college visits and physical banners around the SJPD headquarters, but the team lacks subject matter expertise from the Communications Division.

SJPD COMMUNICATIONS HIRING PROCESS

The selection process for call-taker or dispatcher consists of an evaluation of the candidate’s job application based on training, experience, and responses to job specific questions. Candidates whose background best match the position will be forwarded to the next phase, which includes a mandatory information session, computer practical, and oral interview. Candidates deemed most competitive after oral interviews will be required to pass a police background investigation, which includes polygraph and psychological screening.

SJPD recommends that candidates apply for both dispatcher and call-taker jobs prior to taking the computer practical (CritiCall®) test that is used across the industry. If they apply for both jobs and do not meet the dispatcher cutoff scores during CritiCall, they could potentially meet the call-taker cutoff scores, which would allow them to move forward in the hiring process as a candidate for a call-taker position.

Candidates who pass the CritiCall testing are interviewed by the SJPD Communication oral board where suitability is assessed. The interviews focus on areas such as initiative, judgment, and maturity.

Successful candidates are given a Personal History Statement (PHS) to complete. Once the PHS is completed, the candidate is assigned to an investigator in the SJPD Background Investigation Unit. It can take four to five months to complete the investigation.

The entire hiring process, from the time the job is posted until the employee starts training, can take up to ten months. Candidates typically apply to multiple agencies. SJPD’s lengthy process often results in qualified candidates accepting positions in other PSAPs prior to completion of the SJPD Communications hiring processes. City HR staff informed the Grand Jury that, under some circumstances, it is possible to hire ahead and over-hire to meet anticipated needs and attrition during the hiring process.

---

8 [http://criticall911.com/dispatcher-testing/agencieshr/](http://criticall911.com/dispatcher-testing/agencieshr/)
SJPD COMMUNICATIONS TRAINING PROCESSES

Employees hired as a call-taker or a dispatcher trainee are required to attend the SJPD in-house Basic Dispatch Academy for eight weeks. SJPD Communications does not allow lateral transfers from other agencies and does not acknowledge dispatchers trained at other PSAPs including the South Bay Basic Public Safety Dispatch Academy.

The SJPD in-house Basic Dispatch Academy training consists of three weeks of POST-certified training. The remaining five weeks are specific to the City of San José and the SJPD. After successful completion of the Academy, graduates continue training in the SJPD Control Room. Each trainee works one-on-one with an assigned Communications Training Officer (CTO) for four to six months during which time they receive daily performance evaluations. The trainees experience the nuances of all shifts and types of calls that are handled within the SJPD Communications Center.

To be promoted to dispatcher, a call-taker must complete the requisite additional training, followed by six to eight months of work with a CTO.

SANTA CLARA COUNTY PSAP SURVEY

The Grand Jury surveyed other PSAPs in Santa Clara County by sending each entity an emailed questionnaire. Campbell, Los Altos, Los Gatos/Monte Sereno, Mountain View, Palo Alto, SJFD, City of Santa Clara and Sunnyvale all responded to the questionnaire. In addition, the questionnaire was completed during an in-person interview with Santa Clara County Communications staff. The cities of Gilroy, Milpitas and Morgan Hill did not respond after repeated requests.

In general, most PSAPs encounter similar recruiting and training issues as SJPD. However, most of the other PSAPs have much shorter hiring timeframes, and few have the chronic understaffing issues of SJPD. Most other PSAPs have a background check timeframe of four to six weeks. SJPD takes four months or more to complete its background check which can lead to losing qualified candidates who also may have applied to other local PSAPs.

Most other PSAPs have mandatory overtime requirements; however, unlike SJPD, the overtime is generally the result of vacations, leaves of absence or temporary backfill requirements. At SJPD Communications, however, mandatory overtime is the norm. All non-San José PSAPs in Santa Clara County pay higher salaries than SJPD, though all expressed challenges with offering competitive salaries commensurate with the high cost of living in Santa Clara County. In addition, some non-San José PSAPs utilize part-time and per diem employees to supplement their staffs.
All of the PSAPs in Santa Clara County, with the exception of SJPD, County Communications and Palo Alto, use the South Bay Basic Public Safety Dispatch Academy to train their personnel. The 120-hour Basic Course satisfies the POST minimum training requirements for entry-level dispatchers and is designed for both employees and/or students interested in entering into a career as a public safety dispatcher.\(^9\) Many local PSAPs recruit from the South Bay Regional Public Safety Training Consortium.

All local PSAPs other than SJPD, SJFD and Los Gatos/Monte Sereno accept lateral transfers from other PSAPs without the candidate having to repeat generic communications training. However, these PSAPs face challenges with seniority and retirement benefits when lateral transfers take place.

All PSAPs reported a high level of attrition from the training academy and during the probationary period. The overall attrition rate of new hires during onboarding, from application to completion of training, ranged from 20% to over 80%. For some, the attrition rate of new hires who had no prior communications experience was 100%. PSAPs who accept lateral transfers from other agencies experienced lower attrition levels.

About half of the PSAPs reported that with prior authorization they could over-hire in anticipation of upcoming vacancies.

\(^9\) [https://theacademy.ca.gov/dispatch_academy](https://theacademy.ca.gov/dispatch_academy)
CONCLUSIONS

During the course of the investigation, the Grand Jury identified multiple factors contributing to the long call answering times at the chronically understaffed SJPD Communications Center over which the City has various levels of control.

The Grand Jury found many of the same issues had been discussed in reports and audits as far back as 1995, and that until those issues are meaningfully addressed, the SJPD Communications Center will continue to be chronically understaffed and have unacceptably long call answering times.

- There is a nationwide systemic problem. As reported in the Wall Street Journal on August 4, 2018, “Cities across the U.S. are struggling to find 9-1-1 dispatchers as a historically tight labor market makes it harder to fill a job that was already a tough sell.”

- The City of San José’s 1997 decision to include 3-1-1 and TRAC calls in SJPD’s Communications Center’s duties has negatively impacted the SJPD Communications Center’s ability to meet State- and City-mandated 9-1-1 call answering times.

- To help address the understaffing problem, in part caused by the SJPD’s unique approach to hiring, there are multiple recruiting, hiring, and training processes that could benefit from being streamlined.

- SJPD’s policy of not accepting lateral transfers from other agencies or recognizing other POST Dispatch Academies creates a barrier to hiring qualified personnel from a broader candidate pool.

- Morale issues identified in the City’s 1995 Audit of the San José Police Department - Communications Division’s Staffing and Scheduling remain issues in the 2019 City Audit of 9-1-1 and 3-1-1, including extensive overtime, and limited, potentially unsafe parking options during night shift.

- Because issues regarding wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment are subject to labor negotiations, the City, SJPD, and the designated bargaining unit are all stakeholders in resolving these issues which impact the welfare of the employees, the agencies, and the community as a whole.
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDING 1
Utilizing chronically understaffed highly-trained emergency personnel to answer non-emergency and TRAC calls is inefficient.

RECOMMENDATION 1
The City should remove non-emergency call-taking and TRAC responsibilities from SJPD Communications, while maintaining the current authorized headcount by December 2020.

FINDING 2
SJPD Communications is chronically understaffed due to: ineffective recruiting practices; lengthy hiring timelines; staffing vacancies; and salaries that are lower than other local PSAPs.

RECOMMENDATION 2a
To improve recruiting practices, the City recruiting staff should develop a recruitment plan for SJPD Communications positions dedicated to outreach and recruitment and complete a written plan by January 2020. The plan should review the salaries of local PSAPs for competitiveness and focus on creative solutions already in place at other PSAPs, to include part-time and per diem employees.

RECOMMENDATION 2b
To reduce the loss of applicants during the hiring process, the City should examine the delays associated with the current hiring process and develop a strategy to reduce the timeframe from application to hire date and complete a written plan by January 2020.

RECOMMENDATION 2c
To better address staffing vacancies, the City should develop a strategy to over hire to address the historic vacancy and high attrition rates, and in anticipation of retirements and other known future vacancies.

RECOMMENDATION 2d
To increase the recruiting pool of potential new hires, the City should recognize external POST-certified training programs.
FINDING 3
SJPD Communications personnel are civilian employees and often perceive their positions as less valued than sworn positions within the Department, thus adversely affecting their morale.

RECOMMENDATION 3
The City should conduct an employee survey of SJPD Communications staff with a commitment to discuss the results directly with employees. Additionally, the City should develop a plan to address issues that create poor morale.

FINDING 4
Most other PSAPs in Santa Clara County use the South Bay Regional Public Safety Consortium for initial training. SJPD Communications conducts all its training in-house to the exclusion of other available training resources, adversely impacting its ability to timely fill positions.

RECOMMENDATION 4
The City should develop alternative training strategies to include use of other available training resources in addition to the in-house SJPD training by January 2020.

REQUIRED RESPONSES

Pursuant to Penal Code Sections 933 and 933.05, the Grand Jury requests responses as follows:

From the following governing bodies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responding Agency</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The City of San José</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, and 4</td>
<td>1, 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, 3, and 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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This report was **ADOPTED** by the 2018-2019 Santa Clara County Civil Grand Jury on this 18th day of June 2019.

John Pedersen
Foreperson